



# Watch out for the ever-evolving definition of the VAT permanent establishment!

27 October 2020

A significant tax issue in case of installing or expanding activity abroad, the definition of the VAT permanent establishment (which differs from that in Corporate Income Tax), enables localizing services and determining who is liable for VAT.

According to the OECD, the new workplace organization due to the COVID-19 sanitary crisis (i.e. telecommuting) could necessitate the evolution of the definition of the permanent establishment for Corporate Income Tax purposes.

## Would new Corporate Income Tax criteria have an impact in VAT?

Presently, to characterize a VAT permanent establishment, a sufficient degree of permanence and a structure apt, from the point of view of human and material resources, are required to either:

- Provide services (supplier permanent establishment).
- Receive services (recipient permanent establishment).

The evolution of the caselaw on the VAT permanent establishment is nevertheless a source of legal insecurity.

Effectively, the question of whether the mere possession of a subsidiary in a Member State constitutes a VAT permanent establishment has never been decided by the EU Court of Justice ("ECJ"), except on very specific facts.

Thus, in the case *DFDS* (20 February 1997) the ECJ held that a subsidiary constituted a VAT supplier permanent establishment since:

- It had a minimal consistence with human and material resources reunited in a permanent manner and,
- Acted as a simple auxiliary, without independence, of its parent company (tour operator) which held the entirety of its capital and imposed numerous contractual obligations upon it.

More recently in the case *Dong Yang Electronics* (7 May 2020), concerning toll-manufacturing services on circuit boards belonging to a Korean company that had a Polish subsidiary, the ECJ held that the Polish subsidiary did not constitute a VAT recipient permanent establishment in Poland considering that, to determine the place of establishment of the recipient of the services provided, the service provider was not bound to examine the contractual relationships between the Korean parent company and its Polish subsidiary, but:

- The nature and use of the service rendered and then,
- If the contract, the purchase order, and the VAT number communicated by the recipient lead to analyzing the local establishment as the recipient of the services and,
- If the local establishment pays for the services.

If this is not the case, the service provider can consider that the services are supplied to the foreign parent company.

Accordingly, the presence in a Member State of a permanent establishment of a company established outside the EU cannot be deduced by the service provider from the sole fact that its client possesses a subsidiary in the EU Member State and the supplier, for its determination of who the recipient is, is not bound to inquire into the contractual relationships between the two entities.

Indeed, the essential criteria considered in VAT is the economic and commercial reality.

The ECJ's decision joins that of the French High Administrative Court, the *Conseil d'Etat* in the case *Bayer Cropscience* (9 October 2015), which held that the effective beneficiary of a service (i.e. the real client who will use and benefit from the service) is the recipient and not the contracting party (i.e. direct client who signed the contract and to whom the service is invoiced).

Finally, this notion of VAT permanent establishment is not fixed. As proof, a question is currently pending before the ECJ in the case *Titanium Ltd*, C-931/19, in order to determine whether the mere passive rental of a building situated in Austria (i.e. without human resources) characterizes a VAT permanent establishment in Austria.

**In this evolving context, our firm is here to help with French, as well as international, VAT permanent establishment analyses to help ensure the security of the VAT rules you apply.**

## Contacts



### Elvire Tardivon-Lorizon

Attorney-at-law - Partner

E: [etardivonlorizon@avocats-gt.com](mailto:etardivonlorizon@avocats-gt.com)

T: +33 1 41 16 27 32



### Amanda Quenette

Attorney-at-law

E: [aquenette@avocats-gt.com](mailto:aquenette@avocats-gt.com)

T: +33 1 41 16 27 24



### Cyril Cohen

E: [ccohen@avocats-gt.com](mailto:ccohen@avocats-gt.com)

T: +33 1 41 16 27 27

### Grant Thornton Société d'Avocats

29, rue du Pont

92200 – Neuilly-sur-Seine

France

[www.avocats-gt.com](http://www.avocats-gt.com)

T: +33 (0)1 41 16 27 27

F: +33 (0)1 41 16 27 28

E: [contact@avocats-gt.com](mailto:contact@avocats-gt.com)



### About Grant Thornton Société d'Avocats

*Grant Thornton Société d'Avocats supports its clients in all their strategic operations, whether in national or international context through multidisciplinary expertise in all areas of business law.*

*The firm offers national and international customers all required services for the legal, tax and business management of companies. We deal in all business law matters: legal, tax, labour and contractual due diligences, mergers and acquisitions, tax law, VAT and international trade, global mobility, commercial law, employment law and finally business litigation.*

**NOTE:** This memorandum is of a general nature and no decisions should be taken without further advice. Grant Thornton Société d'Avocats shall not accept any legal liability relating to the consequences of any decision or any action taken as a result of the information above. You are encouraged to seek professional advice. We would be happy to discuss the application of any of these changes to your situation.

© 2020 Grant Thornton Société d'Avocats, All rights reserved. Grant Thornton Société d'Avocats is a law firm related to Grant Thornton in France, which SAS Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). "Grant Thornton" is the brand under which the member firms of Grant Thornton provide Audit, Tax and Advisory services to their clients and / or designates, depending on the context, one or more member firms. GTIL and the member firms do not constitute a global partnership. GTIL and each of the member firms are independent legal entities. Professional services are provided by member firms. GTIL does not provide any service to customers. GTIL and its member firms are not agents. There is no obligation between them.

